

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/018,792	04/12/2002	Roar B. Schou	111492	1924	
7590 11/21/2005			EXAMINER		
Olif & Berridge			MADSEN, ROBERT A		
P.O.Box 19928			120000	D . DED . W. DED	
Alexandria, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1761		
			DATE MAILED: 11/21/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief Examiner

Applicant(s)	
SCHOU, ROAR B.	
Art Unit	
1761	
	SCHOU, ROAR B.  Art Unit

		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Robert Madsen	1761	
The M	AILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILE	D 02 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE	
<ol> <li>The reply wa this applicati places the a a Request fo time periods</li> </ol>	s filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or on, applicant must timely file one of the follopplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Nor Continued Examination (RCE) in complian:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) 🔯 The perio	od for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
no event,	d for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A however, will the statutory period for reply expire	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MC	Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or NTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
have been filed is the under 37 CFR 1.17(a set forth in (b) above	nay be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of date for purposes of determining the period of example is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the partial in the period of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
filing the Not a Notice of A	of Appeal was filed on A brief in complice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extendance of the base of the filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS				
	ed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
	aise new issues that would require further co aise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		I E below);	
(c) 🖾 They a	re not deemed to place the application in be l; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
	resent additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.	
	E: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			
_	ments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	* **	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
	reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	,
6. Newly proponent	osed or amended claim(s) would be a e claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
how the new	s of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) or amended claims would be rejected is prof the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: wed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) obj	ected to:			
Claim(s) reje				
Ciaiii(s) witi AFFIDAVIT OR O	ndrawn from consideration:			
8.  The affidavit because app	or other evidence filed after a final action, but of the contract of the contr			
9.  The affidavit entered beca	er presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). or other evidence filed after the date of filing ause the affidavit or other evidence failed to	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
	ood and sufficient reasons why it is necessar			•
	it or other evidence is entered. An explanation ECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The reques	t for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
	tached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13.	<u>-</u> ·	( Sf	Tweldiglen EVEWEINSTEIN MARY EXAMINER	$\wedge$
		PRI	MARY EXAMINER	1761

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claim 1 limitation of "is extruded toward the cover panel" would require further search and consideration.

29~